

QUASI-SASAKIAN STRUCTURES OF RANK $2p + 1$

SHŌKICHI TANNO

Introduction

Quasi-Sasakian structures were defined and studied by D. E. Blair [1]. However, there are some gaps in arguments in § 3 — § 5 of [1]. The first is found in the middle of page 337, namely, for a quasi-Sasakian structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g') , the new (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is not quasi-Sasakian, in general. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}^{2q}, \phi, \theta$ are not uniquely determined.

In this note we give complete statements on quasi-Sasakian structures of rank $2p + 1$.

1. Quasi-Sasakian structures

Let ϕ be a $(1, 1)$ -tensor, ξ a vector field, and η a 1-form on a differentiable manifold M of dimension $2n + 1$. Then (ϕ, ξ, η) is an almost contact structure if

$$(1.1) \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta\phi = 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \phi^2 = -I + \xi \otimes \eta.$$

For a (positive definite) Riemannian metric g , (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is an almost contact metric structure if

$$(1.3) \quad \eta(X) = g(\xi, X),$$

$$(1.4) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1}$, where \mathcal{E}^{2n+1} denotes the module of vector fields on M . An almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is a contact metric structure if

$$(d\eta)(X, Y) = 2g(X, \phi Y) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1}.$$

(ϕ, ξ, η) is said to be normal if

$$(1.5) \quad -N^1(X, Y) = [\phi, \phi](X, Y) + (d\eta)(X, Y)\xi = 0.$$

$$([\phi, \phi](X, Y) = \phi^2[X, Y] + [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y].)$$

$N^1 = 0$ implies the followings (cf. [4]):

Received May 1, 1970, and, in revised form, November 12, 1970.

$$(1.6) \quad N^2(X, Y) = (L_{\phi X}\eta)(Y) - (L_{\phi Y}\eta)(X) = 0,$$

$$(1.7) \quad N^3(X) = (L_{\xi}\phi)X = 0,$$

$$(1.8) \quad N^4(X) = -(L_{\xi}\eta)X = 0,$$

where L_X denotes the Lie derivation with respect to X . Define a 2-form Φ by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$. Then a normal almost contact Riemannian structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be quasi-Sasakian, if Φ is closed.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a quasi-Sasakian manifold. Then we have*

$$(1.9) \quad d\eta(\xi, X) = 0, \quad X \in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1},$$

$$(1.10) \quad d\eta(\phi X, \phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1},$$

$$(1.11) \quad L_{\xi}\phi = 0,$$

$$(1.12) \quad L_{\xi}g = 0.$$

Proof. (1.9) and (1.11) are the same as (1.8) and (1.7). Since $L_{\phi X}\eta = di(\phi X)\eta + i(\phi X)d\eta$, by (1.1) and (1.6) we obtain

$$(1.13) \quad d\eta(\phi X, Y) - d\eta(\phi Y, X) = 0.$$

Then replacing Y by ϕY and using (1.9) we have (1.10). (1.12) can be proved by means of $d\Phi = 0$, (1.8) and (1.11) (cf. [1, Lemma 4.1]).

Remark. The condition $d\Phi = 0$ is used only for (1.12).

2. Quasi-Sasakian manifolds of rank $2p + 1$

Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a quasi-Sasakian manifold. If $d\eta = 0$ on M , then M is called a cosymplectic manifold (cf. [2]). If $2\Phi = d\eta$, then M is called a Sasakian manifold or a manifold with normal contact metric structure (cf. [4]). In this case, $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$ holds on M .

A quasi-Sasakian manifold M (or more generally, an almost contact manifold M) is said to be of rank $2p$ if $(d\eta)^p \neq 0$ and $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^p = 0$ on M , and to be of rank $2p + 1$ if $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^p \neq 0$ and $(d\eta)^{p+1} = 0$ on M . It is known that there are no quasi-Sasakian structures of even rank (cf. [1]).

Let M be a quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank $2p + 1$, and define a submodule \mathcal{E}^{2q} of \mathcal{E}^{2n+1} ($2q = 2n - 2p$) by

$$\mathcal{E}^{2q} = \{X \in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1}; i(X)d\eta = 0 \text{ and } \eta(X) = 0\}.$$

\mathcal{E}^{2q} is well defined and \mathcal{E}_x^{2q} is of dimension $2q$ at each point x of M . We denote by \mathcal{E}^1 a submodule of \mathcal{E}^{2n+1} composed of $\{f\xi\}$ for C^∞ -functions f on M , and by \mathcal{E}^{2p} the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{E}^1 \oplus \mathcal{E}^{2q}$ in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1} . Put $\mathcal{E}^{2p+1} = \mathcal{E}^{2p} \oplus \mathcal{E}^1$, and let $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q}$. Then by $\eta(\phi X) = 0$ and (1.13) or (1.10) we have $\phi X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q}$. Since $X = \phi(-\phi X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q}$, we get

$$(2.1) \quad \phi \mathcal{E}^{2q} = \mathcal{E}^{2q}, \quad \phi \mathcal{E}^{2p} = \mathcal{E}^{2p}.$$

Define (1,1)-tensors ϕ and θ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(X) &= \phi X && \text{if } X \in \mathcal{E}^{2p}, \\ &= 0 && \text{if } X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q} \oplus \mathcal{E}^1, \\ \theta(X) &= \phi X && \text{if } X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q}, \\ &= 0 && \text{if } X \in \mathcal{E}^{2p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $-\phi^2$, $-\phi^2 + \xi \otimes \eta$ and $-\theta^2$ are projection tensors to \mathcal{E}^{2p} , \mathcal{E}^{2p+1} and \mathcal{E}^{2q} respectively, and we have $\phi = \phi + \theta$ and

$$(2.2) \quad \phi\phi = \phi\phi = \phi^2, \quad \phi\theta = \theta\phi = \theta^2$$

by the definitions of ϕ and θ and by (2.1) respectively. We define a (0,2)-tensor g^\sharp by

$$(2.3) \quad 2g^\sharp(X, Y) = -d\eta(X, \phi Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1}.$$

By (1.13), g^\sharp is symmetric. Assume that g^\sharp is positive definite on \mathcal{E}^{2p} , and define a new metric \bar{g} by

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{g}(X, Y) = \eta(X)\eta(Y) + g^\sharp(\phi^2 X, \phi^2 Y) + g(\theta^2 X, \theta^2 Y).$$

Then we have

$$\bar{g}(\xi, X) = \eta(X), \quad \bar{g}(\phi X, \phi Y) = \bar{g}(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

by (1.10) and (2.2), etc. $(\phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$ is a normal almost contact metric structure.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank $2p + 1$, and assume that*

(i) $[\theta, \theta] = 0,$

(ii) g^\sharp defined by (2.3) is positive definite on \mathcal{E}^{2p} . Then M has a normal almost contact metric structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$ such that for each point x of M we have two submanifolds U^{2p+1} and V^{2q} of M containing x , where U^{2p+1} is a Sasakian manifold and V^{2q} is a Kählerian manifold.

Proof. An almost product structure (defined by $-\theta^2$ and $-\phi^2 + \xi \otimes \eta$) is integrable (see [5, p. 240]), since $[\theta, \theta] = 0$ implies $[\theta^2, \theta^2] = 0$. For a point x of M , let V^{2q} and U^{2p+1} be integral submanifolds of $-\theta^2$ and $-\phi^2 + \xi \otimes \eta$ passing through x . Consider the imbeddings $r: V^{2q} \rightarrow M$ and $s: U^{2p+1} \rightarrow M$, and let u, v be vector fields on U^{2p+1} . Define $\phi_0, \xi_0, \eta_0, \bar{g}_0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0 u &= s^{-1} \phi s u = s^{-1} \phi s u, & \xi_0 &= s^{-1} \xi, \\ \eta_0(u) &= \eta(su), & \eta_0 &= s^* \eta, & \bar{g}_0(u, v) &= \bar{g}(su, sv), \end{aligned}$$

where by s we also mean the differential of s ; these are well defined. $(\phi_0, \xi_0, \eta_0, \bar{g}_0)$ is an almost contact metric structure, and is normal since

$$s\{[\phi_0, \phi_0](u, v) + (d\eta_0)(u, v)\xi_0\} = [\phi, \phi](su, sv) + (d\eta)(su, sv)\xi = 0.$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{g}_0(u, \phi_0 v) &= 2\bar{g}(su, \phi sv) = 2g^*(su, \phi sv) = -(d\eta)(su, \phi \phi sv) \\ &= (d\eta)(su, sv) = (s^*d\eta)(u, v) = (d\eta_0)(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence U^{2p+1} is a Sasakian manifold.

Let w, z be vector fields on V^{2p} , and define J_0 and G_0 by

$$J_0 w = r^{-1}\theta r w = r^{-1}\phi r w, \quad G_0(w, z) = \bar{g}(r w, r z).$$

Then J_0 and G_0 are well defined and define an almost Hermitian structure. Moreover, J_0 is integrable since

$$r\{[J_0, J_0](w, z)\} = [\theta, \theta](r w, r z) = 0.$$

Define $\Omega_0(w, z) = G_0(w, J_0 z)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0(w, z) &= \bar{g}(r w, r J_0 z) = \bar{g}(r w, \phi r z) \\ &= g(\theta^2 r w, \theta^2 \phi r z) \quad \text{by (2.4)} \\ &= \Phi(r w, r z) = (r^*\Phi)(w, z), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $d\Omega_0 = dr^*\Omega = r^*d\Phi = 0$. Hence V^{2q} is Kählerian.

Remark. $d\Phi = 0$ is used only for $d\Omega_0 = 0$. Thus, if $d\bar{\theta} = 0$, then $d\Phi = 0$ is unnecessary, where $\bar{\theta}$ is defined below.

We define 2-forms $\Psi, \bar{\Psi}, \theta, \bar{\theta}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(X, Y) &= g(X, \phi Y), & \bar{\Psi}(X, Y) &= \bar{g}(X, \phi Y), \\ \theta(X, Y) &= g(X, \theta Y), & \bar{\theta}(X, Y) &= \bar{g}(X, \theta Y). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. \mathcal{E}^{2p} and \mathcal{E}^{2q} are invariant under $\exp t\xi$, and we have

$$(2.5) \quad L_\xi \psi = 0, \quad L_\xi \bar{\Psi} = L_\xi \bar{\Psi} = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad L_\xi \theta = 0, \quad L_\xi \bar{\theta} = L_\xi \bar{\theta} = 0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad L_\xi g^* = 0, \quad L_\xi \bar{g} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q}$ and put $\alpha = \exp t\xi$, t being a real number (sufficiently small, if necessary). If ξ is complete, α is a diffeomorphism of M . If ξ is not complete, we understand that α is a map: $W \rightarrow \alpha W$ for some open set W , and also that $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q}$ implies $X|_W \in \mathcal{E}^{2q}|_W$. Since α leaves η invariant, we have $\eta(\alpha X) = 0$. For $Z \in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1}$,

$$(d\eta)(\alpha X, Z) = (d\eta)(\alpha X, \alpha(\alpha^{-1}Z)) = \alpha^*(d\eta)(X, \alpha^{-1}Z) = d\eta(X, \alpha^{-1}Z) = 0,$$

which implies $i(\alpha X)d\eta = 0$. Therefore \mathcal{E}^{2q} and also \mathcal{E}^{2p} are invariant under α . Next, we show (2.5). Let $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2p}$. Then we get

$$(2.8) \quad (L_\xi\phi)X = L_\xi(\phi X) - \phi L_\xi X.$$

By the definition of ϕ we have $\phi X = \phi X$. Since \mathcal{E}^{2p} is invariant under $\exp t\xi$, $L_\xi X \in \mathcal{E}^{2p}$ and therefore $\phi L_\xi X = \phi L_\xi X$. Thus

$$(L_\xi\phi)X = L_\xi(\phi X) - \phi L_\xi X = (L_\xi\phi)X,$$

and $(L_\xi\phi)X = 0$ by (1.11). If $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q} \oplus \mathcal{E}^1$, then $(L_\xi\phi)X = 0$ follows from (2.8). Hence we have $L_\xi\phi = 0$. Further, $L_\xi\Psi = 0$ follows from $\Psi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$ and (1.12), $L_\xi\theta = 0$ from $L_\xi\phi = 0, L_\xi\psi = 0$ and $\phi = \psi + \theta$, and $L_\xi g^* = 0$ from (2.3) and $L_\xi d\eta = dL_\xi\eta = 0$. Finally, by (2.4) we have $L_\xi\bar{g} = 0$.

Remark. $d\Phi = 0$ is used only for $L_\xi\bar{g} = 0$.

Lemma 2.3. For $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1}$, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\phi X.$$

Proof. Since $L_\xi\bar{g} = 0$ by Lemma 2.2, we have $(\bar{\nabla}_X\eta)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y\eta)X = 0$, which implies

$$(2.10) \quad d\eta(X, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_X\eta)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\eta)X = -2(\bar{\nabla}_Y\eta)X = -2\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_Y\xi, X).$$

Next, we show that

$$(2.11) \quad d\eta(X, Y) = 2\bar{g}(X, \phi Y)$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1}$. If $X, Y \in \mathcal{E}^{2p}$, then (2.11) is (2.3). If $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q} \oplus \mathcal{E}^1$ or $Y \in \mathcal{E}^{2q} \oplus \mathcal{E}^1$, then both sides of (2.11) vanish. Thus we have (2.11), and finally (2.10) and (2.11) give (2.9).

Remark. $d\Phi = 0$ is used to apply $L_\xi\bar{g} = 0$. Thus, if $L_\xi\bar{g} = 0$, then Lemma 2.3 holds for a normal almost contact Riemannian manifold of rank $2p + 1$.

By $K(X_x, Y_x)$ we denote the sectional curvature with respect to \bar{g} for a 2-plane determined by X_x and Y_x at x of M .

Theorem 2.4. Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank $2p + 1$, and assume that g^* defined by (2.3) is positive definite on \mathcal{E}^{2p} . Then, with respect to \bar{g} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}(\xi_x, X_x) &= 1 && \text{if } X_x \in \mathcal{E}_x^{2p} - 0 \\ &= 0 && \text{if } X_x \in \mathcal{E}_x^{2q} - 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2p} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{2q}$ and assume that X is a unit vector field (locally). Then, by (2.5) and (2.9),

$$\bar{g}(\bar{R}(\xi, X)\xi, X) = \bar{g}((\bar{V}_{[\xi, X]} + \bar{V}_X \bar{V}_\xi - \bar{V}_\xi \bar{V}_X)\xi, X) = -\bar{g}(\phi^2 X, X).$$

Thus, if $X_x \in \mathcal{E}_x^{2p}$, then $K(\xi_x, X_x) = 1$; if $X_x \in \mathcal{E}_x^{2q}$, then $K(\xi_x, X_x) = 0$.

Proposition 2.5. *In a quasi-Sasakian manifold, we have*

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \bar{\Phi})(Y, Z) &= \eta(Z)(\nabla_X \eta)(\phi Y) - \eta(Y)(\nabla_X \eta)(\phi Z) \\ &= \eta(Z)g(\nabla_X \xi, \phi Y) - \eta(Y)g(\nabla_X \xi, \phi Z). \end{aligned}$$

If M is of rank $2p + 1$ and $\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X$, then

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \bar{\Phi})(Y, Z) &= \eta(Y)g(X, Z) - \eta(Z)g(X, Y) \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)g(\theta^2 X, Z) - \eta(Z)g(\theta^2 X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

If M is of rank $2p + 1$ and $\bar{\Phi}$ is also closed for the metric \bar{g} defined by (2.4), then (2.13) holds for $\bar{V}, \bar{\Phi}, \bar{g}$.

Proof. In [4] under the assumptions $N^1 = 0$, $d\bar{\Phi} = 0$ and $L_\xi g = 0$, it was proved that

$$\nabla_i \bar{\Phi}_{jk} = -\eta_i \nabla_j \eta_k \phi_k^h - \eta_k \nabla_j \eta_i \phi_i^h,$$

which is nothing but (2.12) since $\nabla_j \eta_i = -\nabla_i \eta_j$. If M is of rank $2p + 1$ and $\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X$, then we obtain (2.13) from (2.12) on account of (1.4), $\phi\phi = \phi^2$, and $\phi^2 = -I + \xi \otimes \eta - \theta^2$. If $\bar{\Phi}$ is closed, we have (2.12) for $\bar{V}, \bar{\Phi}, \bar{g}$, and hence the last statement of Proposition 2.5 follows from (2.9).

Next we have (cf. [1, Theorem 5.2])

Corollary 2.6. *A quasi-Sasakian manifold is cosymplectic if and only if $\nabla\bar{\Phi} = 0$ (or equivalently $\nabla\phi = 0$).*

In fact, if a quasi-Sasakian manifold is cosymplectic, then $d\eta = 0$ and $L_\xi g = 0$, which imply $\nabla\eta = 0$. Thus by (2.12) we have $\nabla\bar{\Phi} = 0$. The converse follows from $[\phi, \phi] = 0$ and (1.5).

3. Locally product quasi-Sasakian manifolds

Let $M_1^{2p+1}(\phi_1, \xi_1, \eta_1, g_1)$ be a Sasakian manifold, and $M_2^{2q}(J_2, G_2)$ a Kählerian manifold. Then $M_1 \times M_2$ has a quasi-Sasakian structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) of rank $2p + 1$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \phi X = (\phi_1 X_1, J_2 X_2),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \xi = (\xi_1, 0),$$

$$(3.3) \quad \eta(X) = \eta_1(X_1),$$

$$(3.4) \quad g(X, Y) = g_1(X_1, Y_1) + G_2(X_2, Y_2)$$

for the canonical decomposition $X = (X_1, X_2)$ of a vector field X on $M_1 \times M_2$ (cf. [1, Theorem 3.2]).

Conversely, we have

Theorem 3.1'. *Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a quasi-Sasakian manifold (more generally, a normal almost contact Riemannian manifold) of rank $2p + 1$. If g^\sharp defined by (2.3) is positive definite on \mathcal{E}^{2p} , and $\bar{V}\theta = 0$ with respect to the Riemannian metric \bar{g} defined by (2.4), then $(\phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$ is also a quasi-Sasakian structure of rank $2p + 1$, and $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$ is locally the product of a Sasakian manifold and a Kählerian manifold.*

Proof. Clearly, $\bar{V}_x\theta = 0$ implies $\bar{V}_x\theta^2 = 0$ and $[\phi, \phi] = 0$. Then the almost product Riemannian structure (defined by $-\phi^2 + \xi \otimes \eta$ and $-\theta^2$) is integrable. Let x be an arbitrary point of M . Then we have some open set W containing x such that $W = U^{2p+1} \times V^{2q}$, which is a Riemannian product. From (2.11) and $\bar{V}\theta = 0$, it follows that $2\bar{\Psi} = d\eta$ is closed, $\bar{V}\bar{\theta} = 0$ and, in particular, $d\bar{\theta} = 0$, so that $\bar{\Phi} = \bar{\Psi} + \bar{\theta}$ is closed. Hence the structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$ is quasi-Sasakian, and $L_\xi\bar{g} = 0$ by (1.12). In order that $U^{2p+1} \times V^{2q}$ be the product of a Sasakian manifold U^{2p+1} and a Kählerian manifold V^{2q} , it must be shown that

$$(3.5) \quad \bar{V}_x\xi = 0 \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q},$$

$$(3.6) \quad \bar{V}_x\phi = 0 \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q}.$$

(3.5) follows from Lemma 2.3 (cf. remark to Lemma 2.3), and (3.6) is equivalent to $\bar{V}_x\bar{\Psi} = 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q}$. Since $\bar{\Phi} = \bar{\Psi} + \bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{V}\bar{\theta} = 0$, we have $(\bar{V}_x\bar{\Phi})(Y, Z) = 0$. On the other hand, an application of Proposition 2.5 to the quasi-Sasakian structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$ yields

$$(3.7) \quad (\bar{V}_x\bar{\Phi})(Y, Z) = \eta(Z)(\bar{V}_x\eta)(\phi Y) - \eta(Y)(\bar{V}_x\eta)(\phi Z).$$

Since $\bar{V}_x\xi = 0$ implies $\bar{V}_x\eta = 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{E}^{2q}$, we have $\bar{V}_x\bar{\Phi} = 0$.

Now the Sasakian structure on U^{2p+1} and the Kählerian structure on V^{2q} defined in Proposition 2.1 (cf. remark to Proposition 2.1) give the product quasi-Sasakian structure on $U^{2p+1} \times V^{2q}$, which and the quasi-Sasakian structure on W , restriction of $(\phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$ to W , are isomorphic by (3.5), (3.6) and $\bar{V}\theta = 0$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ be a normal almost contact manifold such that*

$$(i) \quad \eta \wedge (d\eta)^p \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (d\eta)^{p+1} = 0 \quad \text{on } M,$$

$$(ii) \quad -(d\eta)(X, \phi X) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathcal{E}^{2n+1}.$$

Then we have a normal almost contact Riemannian structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) which admits the canonical almost product structure $(-\phi^2 + \xi \otimes \eta, -\theta^2)$. If $\bar{V}\theta = 0$, then $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is locally the product of a Sasakian manifold of dimension $2p + 1$ and a Kählerian manifold of dimension $2n - 2p$.

In fact, let g' be any Riemannian metric associated with (ϕ, ξ, η) . Then (ϕ, ξ, η, g') is a normal almost contact Riemannian structure, and therefore we obtain Theorem 3.1 by using Theorem 3.1' for (ϕ, ξ, η, g') .

4. A simple example

Let E^3 be a 3-dimensional Euclidean space with coordinates (x, y, z) , and define ϕ, ξ, η, g by

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\xi = (0, 0, 2), \quad 2\eta = (-y, 0, 1),$$

$$4g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + y^2 & 0 & -y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -y & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is a Sasakian structure (cf. [3]). Let β be a non-constant positive function of x and y , i.e., $\beta(x, y) > 0$, and define

$$g^* = \beta g + (1 - \beta)\eta \otimes \eta.$$

Then (ϕ, ξ, η, g^*) is a normal almost contact Riemannian structure. In this case,

$$\Phi^* = \beta\Phi = \frac{1}{2}\beta d\eta = \frac{1}{4}\beta dx \wedge dy.$$

Since β is a function of x and y , we have $d\Phi^* = 0$, and therefore $E^3(\phi, \xi, \eta, g^*)$ is a quasi-Sasakian manifold of rank 3, which is not Sasakian.

References

- [1] D. E. Blair, *The theory of quasi-Sasakian structures*, J. Differential Geometry **1** (1967) 331–345.
- [2] P. Libermann, *Sur les automorphismes infinitésimaux des structures symplectiques et des structures de contact*, Colloq. Géométrie Différentielle Globale, Centre Belge Rech. Math., Louvain, Belgique, 1959, 37–59.
- [3] M. Okumura, *On infinitesimal conformal and projective transformations of normal contact spaces*, Tôhoku Math. J. **14** (1962) 398–412.
- [4] S. Sasaki & Y. Hatakeyama, *On differentiable manifolds with contact metric structures*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (1962) 249–271.
- [5] K. Yano, *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*, Pergamon, New York, 1965.